



City of Venice

401 West Venice Avenue
Venice, FL 34285
www.venicegov.com

Meeting Minutes Planning Commission

Tuesday, July 19, 2016

1:30 PM

Council Chambers

I. Call to Order

A Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission was held this date in Council Chambers at City Hall. Chair Barry Snyder called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Present: 6 - Chair Barry Snyder, Jerry Towery, Shaun Graser, Tom Murphy, Charles Newsom, and Janis Fawn

Excused: 1 - Helen Moore

Also Present

Liaison Councilmember Kit McKeon, Assistant City Attorney Kelly Fernandez, Development Services Director Jeff Shrum, Senior Planner Scott Pickett, and Recording Secretary Michelle Girvan.

III. Approval of Minutes

[16-2133](#)

Minutes of the April 5, April 19, May 3, May 17, 2016 Regular Meetings and the Minutes of the May 31, and June 21, 2016 Workshop Meetings

Changed the start time for the April 27, 2016 meeting from "1:30 p.m." to "5:30 p.m."

A motion was made by Mr. Murphy, seconded by Ms. Fawn, that the Minutes of the April 5, April 19, May 3, May 17, May 31, and June 21, 2016 meetings be approved as written and the Minutes of the April 27, 2016 meeting be approved as amended. The motion carried by voice vote unanimously.

IV. Public Hearings

Ms. Fawn spoke in regards to a previous meeting on Toscana Isles and concerns with items discussed not being part of the agenda documentation Mr. Snyder commented on the meeting regarding Toscana Isles, standards of PUD, language, minimum of standards, landscaping and buffering, and definition of standards.

[16-01RZ](#)

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT - 490, 492, 494, and 505 HAUSER LANE
Owner and Agent: Ronald J. Siegrist Revocable Living Trust, Siegrist, Ronald J. (TTEE)

Staff: Scott Pickett, AICP, Senior Planner

Mr. Snyder stated this is a quasi-judicial hearing, read a memorandum and stated there were no written communications, and opened the public hearing.

Ms. Fernandez queried board members regarding ex-parte communications and conflicts of interest. All board members stated site visits with no communication.

Mr. Pickett, being duly sworn, provided a presentation on this rezone petition and 16-02RZ, zoning designations, aerial photo, single family dwellings, abutting properties, future land use map, existing zoning map, proposed zoning map, consistency of the comprehensive plan, consistency with future land use map designation, land use compatibility, and staff findings and findings of fact.

Discussion took place regarding involuntary annexation, and compensation to owner.

Mr. Newsom spoke in regards to the improvement of roads being up to city standards, demonstration of access, parking, total number of apartment units, maximum height, city zoning code, and community meeting.

Ronald Siegrist, being duly sworn, 490 Hauser Lane, provided information on properties and spoke in regards to the zoning map amendment, city water, purchase of properties, flexibility of rent, affordable workforce housing, and density bonus.

Mr. Siegrist answered questions by board members regarding the demolition of homes.

Mr. Snyder closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Mr. Murphy, seconded by Ms. Fawn, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency and land development regulation commission, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record, and Recommends to City Council approval of Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 16-1RZ. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Towery, Mr. Graser, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Newsom and Ms. Fawn

Excused: 1 - Ms. Moore

16-02RZ

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT - 501 HAUSER LANE
Owner: George and Noreen Ronald

Agent: Ronald J. Siegrist
Staff: Scott Pickett, AICP, Senior Planner

This item was discussed under item 16-01RZ.

A motion was made by Ms. Fawn, seconded by Mr. Murphy, that based on review of the application materials, the staff report and testimony provided during the public hearing, the Planning Commission, sitting as the local planning agency and land development regulation commission, finds this petition consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, in compliance with the Land Development Code and with the affirmative Findings of Fact in the record, and Recommends to City Council approval of Zoning Map Amendment Petition No. 16-2RZ. The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 6 - Chair Snyder, Mr. Towery, Mr. Graser, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Newsom and Ms. Fawn

Excused: 1 - Ms. Moore

V. Updates

16-2140

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE City of Venice Parks Master Plan

Staff: Jeff Shrum, AICP, Development Services Director and John Veneziano, Public Works Director
Consultant: David Barth, Toole Design Group

Mr. Veneziano spoke in regards to the parks master plan consultant, Toole Design Group.

Mr. Snyder questioned the process and spoke in regards to the parks and recreation advisory board, parallel process, comprehensive plan, completion timeline, providing comments, findings from the parks master plan, and discussed the planning commissions responsibilities relative to level of service (LOS).

Mr. Barth, Toole Design Group, provided a presentation regarding the parks master plan, purpose, project schedule, interim draft, existing conditions analysis, patterns, summary of needs and priorities assessment, techniques, LOS analysis for the comprehensive plan, acreage, developable park land, facilities, access, recommendations regarding language in the comprehensive plan, maintaining the integrity and character of the Nolen Plan, maintenance of parks, beach access, connectivity, parking, greenway owned by county, vision, Wellfield and Centennial Parks, and county comprehensive plan.

Mr. Barth's presentation continued on issues with Wellfield Park, Ajax property, pocket parks, Centennial Park issue, natural areas and habitat vision, trails and bikeways, vision of proposed sharrows and bike ferry, multi purpose trails, and implementation strategy.

Discussion took place regarding the parks master plan, impact fees, vision, improvements, multi purpose trails, complete streets, various amendments, LOS with or without amenities, multi Home Owners Association (HOA) users, HOA facilities, gated communities, and Florida Power and Light (FPL) easements.

Mr. Barth's presentation continued regarding comprehensive plan recommendations, needs assessment, acreage LOS recommendations, and equitable distribution.

Discussion followed regarding the parks master plan, new designs of parks, integration of county and city, volunteers for adoption of parks, park signs, workshop on comprehensive plan, and comments for the parks master plan.

Mr. Shrum spoke in regards to the parks master plan, comprehensive plan, focusing on LOS, master plan, documents for reference, maps, timing with both plans, meeting dates, timeframe of comments back to master parks plan, and public document.

Discussion took place on moving forward on any annexations, creation of directive summary, key points of discussion, concept of plan, policy issues implemented into the comprehensive plan, pocket parks, maintenance, and a shorter version of the plan.

Discussion continued on the parks master plan, starting point of recommendations, LOS, pages of concern, and an executive summary.

VI. Audience Participation

No one signed up to speak.

VII. Comments by Planning Division

Mr. Shrum spoke in regards to meeting dates, workshops, cancellation of September meeting, maps, county plan and policies, future land use definitions, proposals on dealing with the maps, mixed use district, data, revision of maps, timeframe of finished draft document, public facilities, future facility needs, lack of data on the transportation side, and Ormond Street letter, and questions from city council.

Mr. McKeon spoke on the Ormond Street letter to include why it went to city council, comments, discussion with city manager, staff discussion and recommendation.

Discussion continued on planning commission reporting to city council, revisiting of letter, reporting relationships, board liaison, correct direction of who to report to, and moving forward with process.

VIII. Comments by Planning Commission Members

There were no comments.

IX. Adjournment

There being no further business to come before this Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 3:45 p.m.


Chair


Recording Secretary